arXiv:1408.4464v1 [math.DG] 19 Aug 2014

The Moutard transformation of two-dimensional Dirac operators and the Möbius geometry

Iskander A. TAIMANOV *

1 Introduction

In the present article we consider the Moutard transformation for two-dimensional Dirac operators

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial \\ -\bar\partial & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & U \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where $\partial = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)$ and $\bar\partial = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)$, with real-valued potentials U. This transformation and its extension to a transformation of solutions to the modified Novikov–Veselov equation was introduced in [1].

The Weierstrass representation of surfaces corresponds to a solution

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

of the Dirac equation

$$\mathcal{D}\psi = 0, \tag{2}$$

a surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ determined by the classical Weierstrass formulas for minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ [2] and moreover any surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ admits such a representation

*Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Academician Koptyug avenue 4, 630090, Novosibirsk, Russia, and Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, Novosibirsk State University, Pirogov street 2, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia; e-mail: taimanov@math.nsc.ru.
The work was supported by RSF (grant 14-11-00441).

even globally (wherewith ψ is a section of spinor bundle over a surface) and important geometrical properties of the surface (in particular, the value of Willmore functional) are encoded into the spectral characteristics of $\mathcal{D}$ [3, 4].

Hence to every surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with a fixed conformal parameter $z = x+iy$ there corresponds a unique Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$, coming into its Weierstrass representation, with the potential U (the potential of a surface with a fixed conformal parameter).

In the present article we

1. *describe the action of the inversion on the data of the Weierstrass representation, i.e. on U and ψ (Theorem 1)*;

2. *show that the Moutard transformation* [1] *has a geometrical meaning: the Moutard transformation maps the potential U of a surface S into the potential $\widetilde{U}$ of the inverted surface $\widetilde{S}$ (Theorem 2).*

2 Preliminary facts

2.1 The Weierstrass representation of surfaces

Let

$$r : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C},$$

be a conformal immersion of a domain $\mathcal{U}$ into $\mathbb{R}^3$, i.e., that is an immersion such that the induced metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = e^{2\alpha(z,\bar{z})} dz\, d\bar{z}. \tag{3}$$

Such a parameter $z = x + iy$ is called a conformal parameter on the surface. The conformality condition reads

$$\left(\frac{\partial x^1}{\partial z}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial x^2}{\partial z}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial x^3}{\partial z}\right)^2 = 0,$$

where x^1, x^2, x^3 are the Euclidean coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The points of this quadric in $\mathbb{C}^3$ are parameterized by pairs $(\psi_1, \bar{\psi}_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ as follows:

$$\frac{\partial x^1}{\partial z} = \frac{i}{2}(\psi_1^2 + \bar{\psi}_2^2), \quad \frac{\partial x^2}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}_2^2 - \psi_1^2), \quad \frac{\partial x^3}{\partial z} = \psi_1 \bar{\psi}_2.$$

The function $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ defines a surface via these formulas if and only if it satisfies the Dirac equation (2) for the Dirac operator (1) with a real-valued potential U. The Dirac equation reads exactly that the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^{3} \left(\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial z} dz + \overline{\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial z}} d\bar{z} \right)$$

is closed and the coordinates x^1, x^2, x^3 are real-valued.

Therewith, given any solution ψ of (2), we construct a surface $r : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ by formulas

$$\begin{aligned} x^1(P) &= \frac{i}{2} \int_{P_0}^{P} \left((\psi_1^2 + \bar{\psi}_2^2) dz - (\bar{\psi}_1^2 + \psi_2^2) d\bar{z} \right) + x^1(P_0), \\ x^2(P) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{P_0}^{P} \left((\bar{\psi}_2^2 - \psi_1^2) dz + (\psi_2^2 - \bar{\psi}_1^2) d\bar{z} \right) + x^2(P_0), \\ x^3(P) &= \int_{P_0}^{P} \left(\psi_1 \bar{\psi}_2 dz + \bar{\psi}_1 \psi_2 \bar{z} \right) + x^3(P_0). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Here P_0 is a fixed point in $\mathcal{U}$ and the integral is taken over a path in $\mathcal{U}$ joining P_0 and P. If $\mathcal{U}$ is simply-connected, then the integral does not depend on a path. The induced metric (3) takes the form

$$e^{\alpha} = |\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2,$$

and hence the formulas (4) define an immersion of $\mathcal{U}$ exactly outside branch points at which $|\psi_1|^2 = |\psi_2|^2 = 0$. The unit normal vector $\mathbf{n}$ equals to

$$\mathbf{n} = e^{-\alpha} (i(\psi_1 \psi_2 - \bar{\psi}_1 \bar{\psi}_2), -(\psi_1 \psi_2 + \bar{\psi}_1 \bar{\psi}_2), (|\psi_2|^2 - |\psi_1|^2)), \tag{5}$$

and the potential U of the Dirac operator is equal to

$$U = \frac{e^{\alpha} H}{2}, \tag{6}$$

where H is the mean curvature of the surface.

Since (4) define a surface up to translations, the data U and ψ are invariant under them. Rotations of $\mathbb{R}^3$ preserve U and induce spinor actions on ψ [5].

2.2 The inversion

The Möbius geometry studies geometrical figures in the Euclidean spaces complemented by the infinity points, i.e. in the spheres $S^n = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$, and their properties which are invariant with respect to conformal transformations.

For $n \geq 3$ the group formed by all orientation preserving conformal transformations is generated by translations and rotations of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the inversion and is isomorphic to the unity component of $SO(n+1,1)$.

The inversion of the three-space is as follows

$$T : \mathbf{x} \to -\frac{\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2}, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x^1, x^2, x^3) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

It maps conformally $\mathbb{R}^3 \cup \{\infty\}$ onto itself.

Let u be a vector tangent to $\mathbb{R}^3$ at $\mathbf{x}$: $u \in T_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{R}^3$ and let $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$. Then it is easy to compute that

$$T^* u = -\frac{u}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} + 2\mathbf{x}\frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, u\rangle}{|\mathbf{x}|^4}, \tag{7}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the Euclidean scalar product. This implies that

$$\langle T^* u, T^* v\rangle = \frac{\langle u, v\rangle}{|\mathbf{x}|^4}, \qquad u, v \in T_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{R}^3. \tag{8}$$

Let

$$r : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}^3$$

be a surface with a conformal parameter z. The inversion transforms it into the surface:

$$\widetilde{r} = T \cdot r : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}^3.$$

By (8), z is a conformal parameter for $\widetilde{r}$ and the conformal factors are related by the formula:

$$e^{\widetilde{\alpha}(z,\bar{z})} = \frac{e^{\alpha(z,\bar{z})}}{|r(z,\bar{z})|^2}, \quad e^{\widetilde{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2}\langle \widetilde{r}_z, \widetilde{r}_{\bar{z}}\rangle, \quad e^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}\langle r_z, r_{\bar{z}}\rangle. \tag{9}$$

Let us recall that

$$\Delta r = 4e^{-2\alpha}\partial\bar{\partial} r = 2H\mathbf{n},$$

which we rewrite as

$$r_{z\bar{z}} = e^{\alpha} U \mathbf{n}, \tag{10}$$

where $\mathbf{n}$ is the unit normal vector to the surface and U is the potential of the Weierstrass representation of r. The latter formula implies that

$$U = e^{-\alpha} \langle r_{z\bar{z}}, \mathbf{n} \rangle.$$

Proposition 1 *The potential $\widetilde{U}$ of the Weierstrass representation of the surface $\widetilde{r}$ (with a conformal parameter z) is equal to*

$$\widetilde{U} = U + e^{\alpha} \frac{\langle r, \mathbf{n} \rangle}{|r|^2}. \tag{11}$$

Proof. By (7) and (8), we have

$$\widetilde{r}_z = -\frac{r_z}{|r|^2} + \frac{2r\langle r, r_z \rangle}{|r|^4}, \qquad \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} = -\mathbf{n} + \frac{2r\langle r, \mathbf{n} \rangle}{|r|^2}.$$

By straightforward commutations we derive

$$\widetilde{r}_{z\bar{z}} = -\frac{r_{z\bar{z}}}{|r|^2} - \frac{8r\langle r, r_z \rangle \langle r, r_{\bar{z}} \rangle}{|r|^6} +$$

$$+\frac{2}{|r|^4} \left(r_z \langle r, r_{\bar{z}} \rangle + r_{\bar{z}} \langle r, r_z \rangle + r \langle r_z, r_{\bar{z}} \rangle + r \langle r, r_{z\bar{z}} \rangle \right)$$

and

$$\langle \widetilde{r}_{z\bar{z}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} \rangle = \frac{\langle r_{z\bar{z}}, \mathbf{n} \rangle}{|r|^2} + 2\frac{\langle r, \mathbf{n} \rangle \langle r_z, r_{\bar{z}} \rangle}{|r|^4}.$$

By (10), we rewrite the latter equation as follows

$$e^{\widetilde{\alpha}} \widetilde{U} = \frac{e^{\alpha} U}{|r|^2} + \frac{\langle r, \mathbf{n} \rangle e^{2\alpha}}{|r|^4}$$

and after dividing both sides by $e^{\widetilde{\alpha}} = e^{\alpha}/|r|^2$ (see (9)) we obtain (11). Proposition is proved.

2.3 The modified Novikov–Veselov equation

The modified Novikov–Veselov equation (the mNV equation)

$$U_t = \big(U_{zzz} + 3U_zV + \frac{3}{2}UV_z\big) + \big(U_{\bar z\bar z\bar z} + 3U_{\bar z}\bar V + \frac{3}{2}U\bar V_{\bar z}\big),$$

where

$$V_{\bar z} = (U^2)_z,$$

was introduced in [6].

This equation takes the form of Manakov triple:

$$\mathcal{D}_t + [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A}] - \mathcal{B}\mathcal{D} = 0,$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is a two-dimensional Dirac operator (1). Usually the Manakov representation of the mNV equation

$$L_t + [L, A] - BL = 0$$

was written in terms of the operator L of the form

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \partial & -U \\ U & \bar\partial \end{pmatrix}$$

(see [1, 3]). Since

$$\mathcal{D} = L\Gamma$$

with

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{12}$$

these representation are related by the formulas

$$\mathcal{A} = -\Gamma A\Gamma, \quad \mathcal{B} = \Gamma A\Gamma + A + B,$$

with

$$\mathcal{A} = \partial^3 + \bar\partial^3 + \tag{13}$$
$$+3\begin{pmatrix} V & 0 \\ U_z & 0 \end{pmatrix}\partial + 3\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -U_{\bar z} \\ 0 & \bar V \end{pmatrix}\bar\partial + \frac{3}{2}\begin{pmatrix} V_z & 2U\bar V \\ -2UV & \bar V_{\bar z} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\mathcal{B} = 3\begin{pmatrix} -V & 0 \\ -2U_z & V \end{pmatrix}\partial + 3\begin{pmatrix} \bar V & 2U_{\bar z} \\ 0 & -\bar V \end{pmatrix}\bar\partial + \frac{3}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \bar V_{\bar z} - V_z & 2U_{\bar z\bar z} \\ -2U_{zz} & V_z - \bar V_{\bar z} \end{pmatrix}$$

2.4 The matrix algebra H

We note that

$$\Gamma = i\sigma_2,$$

where σ_2 is one of the Pauli matrices which are

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Pauli matrices satisfy the relations

$$\sigma_a\sigma_b = i\varepsilon_{abc}\sigma_c + \delta_{ab}\sigma_0,$$

where σ_0 is the unity matrix, and $i\sigma_1, i\sigma_2, i\sigma_3$ form a basis for the Lie algebra $su(2)$ formed by all matrices of the form $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}, \operatorname{Tr} A = 0$.

The four-dimensional space H formed by all matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C},$$

is spanned over $\mathbb{R}$ by σ_0, $i\sigma_1, i\sigma_2, i\sigma_3$, is isomorphic to the quaternion algebra. In particular, H is closed with respect to the product.

3 The Moutard transformation

In this section we expose the Moutard type transformation for two-dimensional Dirac operators and solutions of the mNV equation introduced in [1]. However we modify the initial presentation for demonstrating the geometry which is hidden in analytical formulas and was unnoticed until recently.

3.1 The Moutard transformation of operators

If a spinor $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ which meets the Dirac equation (2), then it is easy to notice that

$$\psi^* = \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{\psi}_2 \\ \bar{\psi}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

also satisfies this equation. Let us form from ψ and ψ^* a matrix-valued solution

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & -\bar{\psi}_2 \\ \psi_2 & \bar{\psi}_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{14}$$

of the Dirac equation (2). We note that Ψ is an H-valued function.

For every pair Ψ and Φ of H-valued functions let us correspond a matrix-valued 1-form ω

$$\omega(\Phi, \Psi) = \Phi^\top \Psi dy - i\Phi^\top \sigma_3 \Psi dx = \tag{15}$$

$$-\frac{i}{2}\left(\Phi^\top \sigma_3 \Psi + \Phi^\top \Psi\right) dz - \frac{i}{2}\left(\Phi^\top \sigma_3 \Psi - \Phi^\top \Psi\right) d\bar{z}$$

and a matrix-valued function

$$S(\Phi, \Psi)(z, \bar{z}, t) = \Gamma \int_0^z \omega(\Phi, \Psi), \tag{16}$$

which is defined up to constant matrices from $su(2)$ formed by integration constants.

Here and in the sequel we denote the transposition of X by $X^\top$.

To every H-valued function Ψ we correspond a matrix-valued function

$$K(\Psi) = \Psi S^{-1}(\Psi, \Psi)\Gamma \Psi^\top \Gamma^{-1}. \tag{17}$$

Proposition 2 ([1]) *Given a solution Ψ_0 of the Dirac equation (14) for the operator $\mathcal{D}$ with real-valued potential U, the matrix $K(\Psi_0)$ takes the form*

$$K(\Psi_0) = \begin{pmatrix} iW & a \\ -\bar{a} & -iW \end{pmatrix}$$

with W real-valued, and for every solution Ψ of form (14) of the Dirac equation (2) the function $\widetilde{\Psi}$ of the form

$$\widetilde{\Psi} = \Psi - \Psi_0 S^{-1}(\Psi_0, \Psi_0) S(\Psi_0, \Psi) \tag{18}$$

satisfies the equation

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}\widetilde{\Psi} = 0$$

for the Dirac operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ with potential

$$\widetilde{U} = U + W.$$

3.2 The Moutard transformation of solutions to the mNV equation

Given a pair of H-valued functions Φ and Ψ which depend on x, y, and t, let us define a matrix-valued 1-form

$$\widehat{\omega}(\Phi,\Psi) = \Phi^\top\Psi dy - i\Phi^\top\sigma_3\Psi dx + \Big[i(\Phi_{yy}^\top\sigma_3\Psi + \Phi^\top\sigma_3\Psi_{yy} - \Phi_y^\top\sigma_3\Psi_y) +$$

$$2iU(\Phi_y^\top\sigma_2\Psi - \Phi^\top\sigma_2\Psi_y) + \Phi^\top\begin{pmatrix} iU^2 - 3iV & -iU_x \\ -iU_x & -iU^2 + 3i\bar{V}\end{pmatrix}\Psi\Big]dt = \quad (19)$$

$$\omega(\Phi,\Psi) + \Big[-i((\Phi_{zz}^\top + \Phi_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}^\top - 2\Phi_{z\bar{z}}^\top)\sigma_3\Psi + \Phi^\top\sigma_3(\Psi_{zz} + \Psi_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} - 2\Psi_{z\bar{z}}) -$$

$$(\Phi_z^\top - \Phi_{\bar{z}}^\top)\sigma_3(\Psi_z - \Psi_{\bar{z}})) - 2U((\Phi_z^\top - \Phi_{\bar{z}}^\top)\sigma_2\Psi - \Phi^\top\sigma_2(\Psi_z - \Psi_{\bar{z}})) +$$

$$\Phi^\top\begin{pmatrix} iU^2 - 3iV & -i(U_z + U_{\bar{z}}) \\ -i(U_z + U_{\bar{z}}) & -iU^2 + 3i\bar{V}\end{pmatrix}\Psi\Big]dt,$$

and matrix-valued functions

$$\widetilde{S}(\Phi,\Psi)(z,\bar{z},t) = \Gamma\int_0^z \widetilde{\omega}(\Phi,\Psi),$$

$$K(\Psi) = \Psi\widetilde{S}^{-1}(\Psi,\Psi)\Gamma\Psi^\top\Gamma^{-1},$$

$$M(\Psi) = \Gamma\Psi_y\Psi^{-1}\Gamma^{-1} = i\Gamma(\Psi_z - \Psi_{\bar{z}})\Psi^{-1}\Gamma^{-1}.$$

Proposition 3 ([1]) *Let $U(z,\bar{z},t)$ and $V(z,\bar{z},t)$ satisfy the mNV equation and $\Psi_0(z,\bar{z},t)$ satisfy the system*

$$\mathcal{D}\Psi_0 = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial\Psi_0}{\partial t} = \mathcal{A}\Psi_0.$$

Then

1. *the matrices $K(\Psi_0)$ and $M(\Psi_0)$ take the form*

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} iW & a \\ -\bar{a} & -iW\end{pmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} b & c \\ -\bar{c} & \bar{b}\end{pmatrix},$$

with W real valued;

2. *for every solution* Ψ *(14) of the Dirac equation (2) and*

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \mathcal{A}\Psi$$

the function $\widetilde{\Psi}$ *of the form*

$$\widetilde{\Psi} = \Psi - \Psi_0 \widetilde{S}^{-1}(\Psi_0, \Psi_0)\widetilde{S}(\Psi_0, \Psi)$$

satisfies the equation

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}\widetilde{\Psi} = 0$$

for the Dirac operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ *with potential*

$$\widetilde{U} = U + W$$

and the equation

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{\Psi}}{\partial t} = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}\widetilde{\Psi}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ *takes the form (13) with* U *replaced by* $\widetilde{U}$ *and* V *replaced by* $\widetilde{V}$*:*

$$\widetilde{V} = V + 2UW + a^2 + 2(a\bar{b} - i\bar{c}W);$$

3. *the function* $\widetilde{U}$ *is real-valued and* $\widetilde{U}$ *and* $\widetilde{V}$ *satisfy the mNV equation*

$$\widetilde{U}_t = \big(\widetilde{U}_{zzz} + 3\widetilde{U}_z\widetilde{V} + \frac{3}{2}\widetilde{U}\widetilde{V}_z\big) + \big(\widetilde{U}_{\bar{z}\bar{z}\bar{z}} + 3\widetilde{U}_{\bar{z}}\bar{\widetilde{V}} + \frac{3}{2}\widetilde{U}\bar{\widetilde{V}}_{\bar{z}}\big),$$

$$\widetilde{V}_{\bar{z}} = (\widetilde{U}^2)_z.$$

4 The action of the inversion on the Weierstrass representation data

Let $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ define a surface $r : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ via the Weierstrass representation.

Let us identify $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the Lie algebra $su(2)$ via the mapping

$$\mathbf{x} = (x^1, x^2, x^3) \to \mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} ix^3 & -x^1 - ix^2 \\ x^1 - ix^2 & -ix^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In such a representation the inversion takes a very simple form:

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}^{-1}.$$

Let us construct a matrix-valued function

$$\Psi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & -\bar{\psi}_2 \\ \psi_2 & \bar{\psi}_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 4 *The formula (16) gives an immersion into $su(2) = \mathbb{R}^3$ of a surface defined by the spinor ψ via the Weierstras representation.*

Proof. By (4) and (15), we have

$$S(\Psi_0, \Psi_0)(P) = \Gamma \int_{P_0}^{P} -\frac{i}{2}\left(\Psi_0^\top(\sigma_3+1)\Psi_0 dz + \Psi_0^\top(\sigma_3-1)\Psi_0\right) d\bar{z}) =$$

$$= i\int_0^P \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1\bar{\psi}_2 & -\bar{\psi}_2^2 \\ \psi_1^2 & -\psi_1\bar{\psi}_2 \end{pmatrix} dz + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\psi}_1\psi_2 & \bar{\psi}_1^2 \\ -\psi_2^2 & -\bar{\psi}_1\psi_2 \end{pmatrix} d\bar{z} = \qquad (20)$$

$$= \int_0^P d\begin{pmatrix} ix^3 & -x^1 - ix^2 \\ x^1 - ix^2 & -ix^3 \end{pmatrix} \in su(2),$$

i.e. S determines a surface defined by ψ via the Weierstrass representation. Proposition is proved.

Let us fix integration constants to achieve

$$S(\Psi_0, \Psi_0)(P) = r(P).$$

Let us consider the inversion $\widetilde{r} = T \cdot r : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}^3$, of the surface r. It is given by the formula

$$P \in \mathcal{U} \to \widetilde{S}(P) = S^{-1}(P).$$

We have $\widetilde{S}(P) = S(\widetilde{\Psi}_0, \widetilde{\Psi}_0)(P) = S^{-1}(\Psi_0, \Psi_0)(P)$, and, by (15) and (16),

$$\widetilde{S}_z = -\frac{i}{2}\Gamma\widetilde{\Psi}_0^\top(1+\sigma_3)\widetilde{\Psi}_0.$$

Since $\widetilde{S}S = S^{-1}S = 1$, we have $(\widetilde{S}S)_z = \widetilde{S}_z S + \widetilde{S}S_z = 0$ and therefore conclude that

$$\widetilde{S}_z = -S^{-1}S_z S^{-1}.$$

This implies the equality

$$-\frac{i}{2}\Gamma\widetilde{\Psi}_0^\top(1+\sigma_3)\widetilde{\Psi}_0 = \frac{i}{2}S^{-1}\Gamma\Psi_0^\top(1+\sigma_3)\Psi_0 S^{-1}$$

which after simple cancellations takes the form

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_0^\top(1+\sigma_3)\widetilde{\Psi}_0 = -\Gamma^{-1}S^{-1}\Gamma\Psi_0^\top(1+\sigma_3)\Psi_0 S^{-1}.$$

Since S^{-1} equals to

$$S^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det S}\begin{pmatrix} -ix^3 & x^1+ix^2 \\ -x^1+ix^2 & ix^3 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$-\Gamma^{-1}S^{-1}\Gamma = \Gamma S^{-1}\Gamma = (S^{-1})^\top$$

which implies

$$C^\top(1+\sigma_3)C = (1+\sigma_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{21}$$

with

$$C = \Psi_0 S^{-1}\widetilde{\Psi}_0^{-1}.$$

Analogously by considering $\widetilde{S}_{\bar{z}}$ and $S_{\bar{z}}$ we derive that

$$C^\top(\sigma_3-1)C = (\sigma_3-1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows from the latter equality together with (21) that C is diagonal and $C^\top = C^{-1}$. Since $\Psi_0, S^{-1}, \widetilde{\Psi}_0 \in H$, we conclude that $C \in H$ which implies that $C = \pm\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and hence $\widetilde{\Psi}_0 = \pm\Psi_0 S^{-1}$. Since both spinors $\pm\Psi_0 S^{-1}$ define the same surface, we put without loss of generality

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_0 = \Psi_0 S^{-1}.$$

The spinor $\widetilde{\Psi}_0$ satisfies the Dirac equation

$$\widetilde{D}\widetilde{\Psi}_0 = (\mathcal{D}_0 + \widetilde{U})\widetilde{\Psi}_0 = 0$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial \\ -\bar{\partial} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check the "Leibniz rule"

$$\mathcal{D}_0(A \cdot B) = (\mathcal{D}_0\, A) \cdot B + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A \cdot \partial B + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A \cdot \bar{\partial} B \qquad (22)$$

and apply it as follows

$$\mathcal{D}_0(\Psi_0 S^{-1}) = (\mathcal{D}_0 \Psi_0) S^{-1} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Psi_0 S_z^{-1} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Psi_0 S_{\bar{z}}^{-1} =$$

$$= -U\Psi_0 S^{-1} + i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Psi_0 S^{-1} \Gamma \Psi_0^\top \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Psi_0 S^{-1} +$$

$$+ i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Psi_0 S^{-1} \Gamma \Psi_0^\top \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \Psi_0 S^{-1}.$$

By dividing both sides by $\Psi_0 S^{-1}$, we derive

$$\widetilde{U} = U - i \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} G \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} G \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right), \qquad (23)$$

$$G = \Psi_0 S^{-1} \Gamma \Psi_0^\top.$$

Finally we conclude

Theorem 1 *Let Ψ_0 define a surface S of the form (20) via the Weierstrass representation and meet the Dirac equation with potential U. Let $\widetilde{S}$ be a surface obtained from S by the inversion.*

Then $\widetilde{S}$ is defined by the spinor

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_0 = \Psi_0 S^{-1}$$

via the Weierstrass representation and $\widetilde{\Psi}_0$ meets the Dirac equation with the potential $\widetilde{U}$ of the form (23).

5 Geometry of the Moutard transformation

Theorem 2 *The Moutard transformation of the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ given in Proposition 2 maps the potential of the Weierstrass representation of S (20) into the potential of its inversion $\widetilde{S}$.*

PROOF. Let us compute $W = -iK_{11}$ given by (17). We have

$$K = \Psi_0 S^{-1}\Gamma\Psi_0^\top\Gamma^{-1} = \Psi_0 S^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & \psi_2 \\ -\bar\psi_2 & \bar\psi_1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{|r|^2}\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & -\bar\psi_2 \\ \psi_2 & \bar\psi_1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} -ix^3 & x^1+ix^2 \\ -x^1+ix^2 & ix^3 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \bar\psi_1 & \bar\psi_2 \\ -\psi_2 & \psi_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $|r|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^3 (x^k)^2$, and we derive that, by (5),

$$K_{11} = \frac{1}{|r|^2}(x^1(\psi_1\psi_2 - \bar\psi_1\bar\psi_2) - ix^2(\psi_1\psi_2 + \bar\psi_1\bar\psi_2) + ix^3(|\psi_2|^2 - |\psi_1|^2)) =$$

$$= \frac{i}{|r|^2}e^\alpha\langle r, \mathbf{n}\rangle.$$

It follows from (11) that $\widetilde U = U + W$ is the potential of $\widetilde S$. Theorem is proved.

More tedious computations allow to prove Theorem 2 by comparing (17) and (23).

For completeness, let us derive (18) in the framework of Section 4 (in [1] its derivation was skipped).

Let

$$\mathcal{D}\Psi = \mathcal{D}\Psi_0 = 0, \quad \widetilde D\widetilde\Psi_0 = 0,$$

and let us look for a deformation $\widetilde\Psi$ of Ψ of the form

$$\widetilde\Psi = \Psi + \widetilde\Psi_0 N$$

which satisfies $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}\widetilde\Psi = 0$. By (22), we have

$$0 = \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}\widetilde\Psi = (\mathcal{D} + W)(\Psi + \widetilde\Psi_0 N) = \mathcal{D}\Psi + W\Psi + (\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}\widetilde\Psi_0)\cdot N +$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\widetilde\Psi_0\partial N + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\widetilde\Psi_0\bar\partial N$$

where $W = \widetilde U - U$ and, since $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}\widetilde\Psi = \mathcal{D}\Psi = 0$, we look for N such that

$$W\Psi = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\widetilde\Psi_0\partial N - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\widetilde\Psi_0\bar\partial N.$$

Recall that, by (6),

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\widetilde\Psi_0 S_z(\Psi_0, \Psi)\Psi^{-1} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\widetilde\Psi_0 S_{\bar z}(\Psi_o, \Psi)\Psi^{-1}$$

and infer the following

Proposition 5 ([1]) *If Ψ satisfies $\mathcal{D}\Psi = 0$, then the function*

$$\widetilde{\Psi} = \Psi - \widetilde{\Psi}_0 S(\Psi_0, \Psi) \tag{24}$$

satisfies the equation $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}\widetilde{\Psi} = 0$. The function $\widetilde{\Psi}$ is defined up to $\widetilde{\Psi}_0 \cdot A$ where A is a constant matrix from H.

Let the potential U is double-periodic:

$$U(z + \lambda) = U(z), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda \approx \mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

The a solution ψ of the Dirac equation (2) is called the Floquet function (on the zero energy level) of $\mathcal{D}$ if there are constants μ_1 and μ_2 (the Floquet multipliers) such that

$$\psi(z + \lambda_k) = \mu_k \psi(z), \quad k = 1, 2,$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 generate Λ. The Floquet functions are parameterized by the spectral curve of $\mathcal{D}$ [7] which was first introduced for the two-dimensional Schrödinger operator in [8].

We conjectured that the spectral curve is preserved by conformal transformations. Since translations and rotations do not change the potential, it is enough to establish that for the inversion. In [9] this conjecture was confirmed by proving that for tori in $\mathbb{R}^3$ the multipliers are preserved by the inversion. In [10] that was established for tori in $\mathbb{R}^4$ however it was shown that in this case the spectral curve may change by stacking and unstacking multiple points. Both proofs are based on studying infinitesimal conformal transformations.

Proposition 5 straightforwardly shows the multipliers are preserved by the inversion:

Corollary 1 *If Ψ is the Floquet function of $\mathcal{D}$, then there is a unique choice of $\widetilde{\Psi}$ of the form (24) such that $\widetilde{\Psi}$ is a Floquet function of $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$. Moreover $\widetilde{\Psi}$ has the same Floquet multipliers μ_1, μ_2 as Ψ.*

6 Final remarks

1) The (Bianchi) permutability theorem for the Moutard transformation is briefly mentioned in [1]. It reads that there are representatives of $\widetilde{\Psi}_1$ and $\widetilde{\Psi}_2$

such that $\widehat{U} = U_{12} = U_{21}$, i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & U & \xrightarrow{\Psi_1} & U_1 & \\ \Psi_2 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \widetilde{\Psi}_2 \\ & U_2 & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Psi}_1} & \widehat{U} & \end{array}$$

is commutative. Here the arrows denote transformations defined by its labels and $\widetilde{\Psi}_2$ and $\widetilde{\Psi}_2$ are images of Ψ_2 and Ψ_1 under these transformations. Is there any geometrical interpretation of the permutability in the spirit of Theorem 2?

2) In [11] iterates of the Moutard transformation of the Schrödinger operator were used for deriving examples of operators with interesting spectral properties. It would be interesting to do the same for the Dirac operator, in particular, by using the geometrical interpretation given by Theorem 2.

3) Proposition 3 gives a way for looking for blowups of solutions to the mNV equation. Indeed, let the initial surface S do not pass through $\mathbf{x} = 0$. Then (19) and (20) define its deformation and as soon as $\widetilde{S}$ passes through $\mathbf{x} = 0$, the potential $\widetilde{U}$ would become singular. The well-understood minimal surfaces and soliton spheres [5, 12] may supply such explicit examples.

4) There have to be a similar Moutard transformation corresponding to the inversion of surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$ [13, 14]. We remark that in this case the Dirac operator takes the form $\mathcal{D}_0 + \mathrm{diag}(U, \bar{U})$ and is related to the Davey–Stewartson equations.

References

[1] Delong Yu, Q.P. Liu, and Shikun Wang: Darboux transformation for the modified Veselov–Novikov equation. J. of Physics A **35** (2001), 3779–3785.

[2] Konopelchenko, B.G.: Induced surfaces and their integrable dynamics. Stud. Appl. Math. **96** (1996), 9–52.

[3] Taimanov, I.A.: Modified Novikov–Veselov equation and differential geometry of surfaces. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Ser. 2, V. 179, 1997, pp. 133–151.

[4] Taimanov, I.A.: The two-dimensional Dirac operator and the theory of surfaces. Russian Math. Surveys **61**:1 (2006), 79–159.

[5] Taimanov, I.A.: The Weierstrass representation of spheres in $\mathbb{R}^3$, Willmore numbers, and soliton spheres. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **225**:2 (1999), 322–343.

[6] Bogdanov, L.V.: The Veselov–Novikov equation as a natural generalization of the Korteweg-de Vries equation. Theoret. and Math. Phys. **70**:2 (1987), 219–223.

[7] Taimanov, I.A.: The Weierstrass representation of closed surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Funct. Anal. Appl. **32** (1998), 258–267.

[8] Dubrovin, B.A., Krichever, I.M., and Novikov, S.P.: The Schrödinger equation in a periodic field and Riemann surfaces. Soviet Math. Dokl. **17** (1976), 947–952.

[9] Grinevich, P.G., and Schmidt, M.U.: Conformal invariant functionals of immersions of tori into $\mathbb{R}^3$. J. Geom. Phys. **26** (1997), 51–78.

[10] Grinevich, P.G., and Taimanov, I.A.: Infinitesimal Darboux transformations of the spectral curves of tori in the four-space. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2007, no. 2, Art. ID rnm005.

[11] Taimanov, I.A., and Tsarev, S.P.: Two-dimensional rational solitons constructed by means of the Moutard transformations, and their blowup. Theoret. and Math. Phys. **157**:2 (2008), 1525–1541.

[12] Bohle, C., and Peters, G.P.: Soliton spheres. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363**:10 (2011), 5419–5463.

[13] Konopelchenko, B.G.: Weierstrass representations for surfaces in 4D spaces and their integrable deformations via DS hierarchy. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **16**:1 (2000), 61–74.

[14] Taimanov, I.A.: Surfaces in the four-space and the Davey-Stewartson equations. J. Geom. Phys. **56**:8 (2006), 1235–1256.